IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA REGINA BLACKHURST, 02:04-cv-2191-GEB-GGH Plaintiff, ORDER\* V. TRINITY CHURCH, and DONNA HARREL, Defendants. On November 10, 2005, Plaintiff filed a motion for authorization to serve a trial subpoena on a non-party witness that lives in Canton, Ohio. Plaintiff is correct that Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45(b)(2) provides for service of a subpoena on this prospective witness if authorized by the Court "upon proper

identify any statutory authority that provides for the service of a

therefor . . . " Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(b)(2). Plaintiff has failed to

application and cause shown." However, such service may only be

authorized "[w]hen a statute of the United States provides

 $<sup>^{\</sup>star}$  This matter was determined to be suitable for decision without oral argument. L.R. 78-230(h).

## 

subpoena upon the prospective witness. Therefore, Plaintiff's motion is denied. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: November 21, 2005 /s/ Garland E. Burrell, Jr. GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR. United States District Judge